

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/849,181	YOKOTE, MASATSUGU	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lee Lum	3611	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) L Lum - examiner. (3)_____.

(2) M. Cosenza - attorney. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 25 April 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 8,9 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Kaufmann.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney argued that Kaufmann's "road wheel unit 16" only steers the wheel, and does not "drive" it. Examiner will review the reference and claim language when a response is submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



LESLEY D. MORIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3611

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required